

The Intersection of Programmatic Advertising and Consumer Privacy: Insights from Empirical Research

Bassant Eyada

Professor of Advertising, City University Ajman, UAE

Abstract

Programmatic advertising has revolutionized the way businesses target consumers, relying on automated systems and vast amounts of personal data. While this technology enables precision targeting, it also raises significant concerns about consumer privacy. This research examines the mechanisms of programmatic advertising, its ethical considerations, and its impact on data privacy. Additionally, the study explores regional variations in privacy regulations, fundamental constraints of the technology, and customer concerns. The findings suggest that while programmatic advertising is a powerful tool for marketers, its implications for consumer privacy require a delicate balance between innovation and regulation. The aim of this paper is to delve into the core mechanisms of programmatic advertising and its ethical considerations, focusing on how it intersects with the pressing issue of data privacy. By understanding both the technical and ethical implications of programmatic advertising, businesses and policymakers can devise better frameworks to protect consumers while maintaining the benefits of targeted advertising.

Keywords: Advertising Ethics, Advertising Technology, Consumer Privacy, Programmatic Advertising, Targeted Advertising.

1. Introduction

Programmatic advertising is a sophisticated, technology-driven approach that automates the buying, selling, and placement of digital advertisements, enabling highly targeted and efficient ad campaigns. It eliminates the need for traditional manual negotiations between advertisers and publishers by using complex algorithms and real-time data to make ad-buying decisions in a matter of milliseconds (Chaffey & Ellis-Chadwick, 2019). This automation is driven by technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning (ML), and real-time analytics, making programmatic advertising a powerful tool for optimizing digital marketing strategies (IAB, 2021). At its core, programmatic advertising relies on a series of automated systems that communicate with each other to streamline the ad-buying process (Lomax & Hammersley, 2019).

Programmatic advertising allows advertisers to reach highly specific audiences, tailoring ads based on factors such as interests, behavior, location, and even the time of day (Zhang et al., 2014). This precision targeting helps ensure that ads are more relevant to the user, increasing the

likelihood of engagement and conversion (Leefflang et al., 2014). For instance, a user searching for running shoes might see ads not just for shoes but also for related products like fitness gear or health supplements, based on their browsing patterns (Chaffey & Ellis-Chadwick, 2019). By automating the entire ad-buying process, programmatic advertising eliminates the need for lengthy negotiations, manual campaign adjustments, and labor-intensive processes (Lomax & Hammersley, 2019). Advertisers can manage and optimize multiple campaigns simultaneously, reducing the time and resources needed to run complex ad strategies (IAB, 2021). This efficiency allows companies to scale their advertising efforts across different platforms and regions more easily (Leefflang et al., 2014).

Programmatic advertising enables real-time tracking and analysis of campaign performance. Advertisers can adjust their campaigns in real time, fine-tuning bids, targeting parameters, and creative elements to improve results (Zhang et al., 2014). For example, if an ad campaign is underperforming during a particular time of day, the system can automatically reduce the budget allocated to that time slot and increase bids during more successful hours (Lomax & Hammersley, 2019). One of the most significant advantages of programmatic advertising is the ability to reach users across multiple channels and devices, including desktops, mobile phones, tablets, and connected TVs (IAB, 2021). This ensures a consistent brand message and helps advertisers engage users no matter where they are or what device they are using (Chaffey & Ellis-Chadwick, 2019). For example, a user might see an ad on their smartphone while browsing social media and then encounter the same brand's display ad on their desktop when visiting a news website (Leefflang et al., 2014).

Programmatic advertising encompasses a variety of ad formats, each of which is driven by algorithmic buying and data analysis, allowing for precision targeting and enhanced efficiency across digital channels. One of the most ubiquitous forms is display advertising. Programmatic display ads are commonly seen in the form of banner ads or other graphical placements on websites. These ads are highly adaptable and can serve multiple marketing objectives, such as brand awareness, lead generation, and product promotion. A key strategy within programmatic display advertising is retargeting, wherein advertisers re-engage users who have previously interacted with their website but did not complete a desired action, such as making a purchase. For example, Amazon often deploys display ads across a wide range of external websites, targeting users who have viewed specific products without finalizing a transaction. By leveraging historical browsing behavior and consumer intent data, programmatic display ads deliver a personalized message at the right moment, optimizing the likelihood of conversion.

The growing consumption of video content has catalyzed the rise of programmatic video advertising, which allows advertisers to integrate video ads within streaming content in a highly targeted and dynamic fashion. These ads can appear as pre-roll (before the content), mid-roll (during), or post-roll (after), depending on the context of the video content (IAB, 2021). Programmatic video ads are particularly effective in environments like YouTube, where user behavior and search history are utilized to target ads based on viewer preferences (Lomax & Hammersley, 2019). For instance, a programmatic video ad might be displayed to users watching fitness-related content, featuring products or services that align with their interests, such as workout gear or nutritional supplements. Another notable example is Hulu, where advertisers

can employ programmatic video to deliver ads based on granular viewing data, such as the type of content the viewer consumes, their past viewing habits, or even their geographic location (Grewal et al., 2021). This precision allows for the creation of highly personalized video advertising experiences that are less likely to be perceived as intrusive by the viewer.

Native advertising is another format that has been transformed by programmatic technologies. Unlike traditional ads that can appear disruptive, native ads are integrated seamlessly into the layout and content of the host site, mimicking the style and tone of the surrounding media (Leefflang et al., 2014). The ability of programmatic systems to dynamically adapt native ads to the specific design, content, and user preferences of a website ensures that these ads appear organic and contextually relevant (Chaffey & Ellis-Chadwick, 2019). For example, a user reading an article on a news website may encounter a sponsored story within the article stream, which visually resembles editorial content but is, in fact, an advertisement. Programmatic native advertising is often used in environments where user engagement is prioritized, as the subtle nature of the ad allows it to blend in without disrupting the user experience (Grewal et al., 2021). Facebook and LinkedIn are notable platforms where native ads, including sponsored posts, are strategically placed in users' content feeds based on sophisticated algorithmic targeting. This ensures that the ad resonates with the user's interests and behaviors, leading to a higher likelihood of interaction (IAB, 2021).

In the evolving landscape of digital media consumption, even traditional television advertising has entered the realm of programmatic buying. With the proliferation of connected TVs (CTVs) and over-the-top (OTT) streaming services, programmatic TV advertising allows for more refined audience targeting compared to linear TV's broad demographic-based targeting (Grewal et al., 2021). Through the integration of data-driven insights, advertisers can tailor their messaging to specific households or individual viewers based on viewing habits, demographic data, and geographic information (IAB, 2021). For instance, platforms like Hulu, Roku, and Amazon Fire TV enable advertisers to target users watching specific genres, shows, or channels, delivering a more personalized viewing experience (Chaffey & Ellis-Chadwick, 2019). A major benefit of programmatic TV is its ability to reduce wasted ad spend by ensuring that ads are served only to relevant audiences. For example, a company advertising a high-end consumer product might use programmatic TV to target affluent households within certain zip codes or regions, thereby improving ad relevance and efficiency (Lomax & Hammersley, 2019). Additionally, programmatic TV offers real-time optimization capabilities, enabling advertisers to adjust their ad strategies based on performance metrics like view-through rates and engagement levels (Grewal et al., 2021).

The convergence of data analytics, machine learning, and automation in programmatic advertising has transformed these ad formats into highly effective and efficient tools for advertisers. By leveraging sophisticated algorithms and real-time bidding, programmatic advertising not only increases the relevance of ads to the consumer but also enhances the ability of advertisers to optimize campaign performance across multiple platforms and media environments. Whether through display ads, video ads, native ads, or even programmatic TV, the integration of real-time data and programmatic technology ensures that advertising

campaigns can adapt to shifting user behaviors and market conditions, making them a crucial element of modern digital marketing strategies.

2. Literature Review

2.1 The Role of Algorithms:

Programmatic advertising relies on sophisticated algorithms to ensure that the right ads are delivered to the right users at precisely the right time. These algorithms evaluate multiple data points, such as user behavior, browsing history, demographic information, device type, and geographic location, enabling advertisers to make data-driven decisions about ad placements. By analyzing these factors in real-time, algorithms determine which advertisement is most relevant to a specific user at any given moment. This immediate decision-making process is crucial in delivering personalized ads that are more likely to capture the user's attention and lead to conversions (López & Schmitt, 2023).

A key component of programmatic advertising is the concept of ad exchanges, which are digital marketplaces where advertisers and publishers trade ad inventory. Advertisers can place bids for ad space on particular websites or apps, competing against one another in a process known as Real-Time Bidding (RTB). As soon as a user opens a webpage or app, the RTB process is triggered, and the auction takes place within milliseconds. The advertiser willing to pay the highest price for the available ad impression wins the auction, and their ad is instantly displayed to the user. For example, if a user has recently searched for a smartphone, advertisers in the smartphone category automatically enter the bidding process, hoping to display their ads to that user. This real-time competition ensures that ads are both relevant and strategically targeted based on user intent (Chaffey, 2020).

The effectiveness of programmatic advertising hinges on the intelligent use of data. Both first-party data, collected directly by advertisers (e.g., customer email addresses or purchase history), and third-party data, obtained from external sources (e.g., browsing patterns, social media activity, or app usage), are crucial for building comprehensive user profiles. Data management platforms (DMPs) aggregate these data streams, allowing advertisers to segment audiences based on specific traits, preferences, or behaviors. This granular targeting enables advertisers to display highly personalized ads, making marketing messages more engaging and relevant to individual users. For instance, an individual who frequently shops for fitness products might see ads for workout gear or health supplements, ensuring that the advertisement aligns with their interests and needs (Dahlén & Edenius, 2022).

Demand-Side Platforms (DSPs) play a crucial role in the buying side of the programmatic ecosystem. These platforms allow advertisers to bid on ad space across various websites, apps, and video platforms from a single interface, streamlining the ad-buying process. A DSP manages the bidding process while optimizing ad placements based on predefined campaign goals, such as increasing brand awareness or driving conversions. By using DSPs like Google Display & Video 360, MediaMath, or The Trade Desk, advertisers can reach their desired audience at scale while maintaining control over their ad spend and targeting strategies. DSPs automate much of

the process, allowing for efficient budget allocation and real-time performance optimization (IAB Europe, 2021).

On the publisher's side, Supply-Side Platforms (SSPs) enable the real-time selling of digital ad inventory to advertisers. These platforms help publishers maximize revenue by making their ad spaces available to the highest bidders while maintaining control over the types of ads that appear on their sites or apps. SSPs are integrated with multiple ad exchanges and DSPs, giving publishers access to a broad network of potential buyers. Popular SSPs like Google Ad Manager and OpenX provide publishers with tools to manage ad quality, volume, and pricing. This integration not only helps publishers sell their ad space more efficiently but also ensures that their inventory is exposed to a wide range of advertisers, optimizing both reach and revenue potential (Wright, 2019).

By integrating advanced data analytics, real-time bidding, and automated platforms such as DSPs and SSPs, programmatic advertising creates a seamless and efficient system for advertisers and publishers. The real-time nature of this ecosystem allows for precise targeting, ensuring that ads displayed are contextually relevant to users, maximizing engagement and conversion potential. The ability to harness and interpret data at an unprecedented scale makes programmatic advertising one of the most powerful tools in modern digital marketing (López & Schmitt, 2023).

2.2 Key Components of Programmatic Advertising:

Programmatic advertising operates through a seamless and highly efficient ecosystem, integrating various components that enable advertisers to target specific users in real time. At its core is Real-Time Bidding (RTB), one of the most vital elements in programmatic advertising. RTB allows advertisers to bid for ad impressions as users load webpages, initiating a process that unfolds within milliseconds. When a user visits a site, programmatic systems instantaneously analyze their behavior, interests, and demographic data, selecting an ad tailored to those preferences. The bidding process then takes place, where advertisers compete in real time. The highest bidder wins, and their ad is immediately displayed. This process ensures that ads are not only delivered at the right moment but are also contextually relevant to the user's recent actions. For instance, if someone recently searched for athletic shoes, they may encounter ads for sportswear moments later, even while browsing unrelated content. This precision maximizes both the relevance and impact of the ads shown (Kshetri, 2014; Amazon Ads, 2023).

A key element in supporting this real-time decision-making is the vast amount of data that fuels programmatic advertising. Data Management Platforms (DMPs) play a critical role by collecting, organizing, and analyzing information from diverse sources such as cookies, browsing history, mobile apps, and social media interactions. These platforms consolidate both first-party and third-party data, allowing advertisers to create detailed consumer profiles. The aggregated data helps build audience segments with nuanced insights into user behavior and preferences. For example, by analyzing browsing patterns, a DMP can categorize users into segments such as "travel enthusiasts" or "fitness fans," enabling advertisers to target these audiences with greater specificity. This data-driven approach empowers advertisers to deliver personalized ads that align with users' interests, significantly enhancing engagement rates (Chen, Pavlou, & Hitt, 2022; Kshetri, 2014). On the buying side, advertisers leverage Demand-Side Platforms (DSPs)

to automate the purchasing of ad space. DSPs are powerful tools that allow advertisers to manage their entire digital ad buying process in a centralized interface. Through DSPs, advertisers can set their desired campaign goals, allocate budgets, and specify their target audience. What makes DSPs particularly valuable is their integration with ad exchanges where RTB occurs, giving advertisers access to a wide array of ad inventories across websites, apps, and video platforms without needing to engage in time-consuming negotiations with individual publishers. DSPs like Google Ads and The Trade Desk streamline the process, enabling advertisers to efficiently bid for and purchase digital ad space across numerous channels. Moreover, the ability to track and optimize campaigns in real time ensures that advertisers can fine-tune their strategies to meet evolving performance goals, whether those objectives are to drive conversions, increase brand awareness, or achieve other specific outcomes (Amazon Ads, 2023; IAB Europe, 2019).

On the publisher side, Supply-Side Platforms (SSPs) serve as the counterpart to DSPs, enabling publishers to manage and sell their ad inventory in real time. SSPs facilitate the connection between publishers and multiple buyers, creating a competitive environment that helps publishers maximize their ad revenue. By offering ad space to numerous potential buyers, SSPs allow for real-time bidding auctions, ensuring that the highest bidder wins the placement, thus optimizing the publisher's earnings. Beyond merely auctioning ad space, SSPs offer publishers a level of transparency and control, allowing them to monitor how much of their inventory is sold, track ad performance, and maintain the quality of ads displayed on their platforms. Google Ad Manager and OpenX are prominent examples of SSPs that enable publishers to efficiently monetize their digital content while ensuring they attract premium advertisers (Amazon Ads, 2023; War Room Inc., 2022).

In addition to RTB, another significant component of programmatic advertising is Programmatic Direct, which allows advertisers to purchase guaranteed ad impressions directly from publishers in advance, often at a fixed price. Unlike RTB, where advertisers bid in real time for each impression, Programmatic Direct provides certainty in ad placements, securing premium spots on reputable websites. This approach is typically favored by large brands that prioritize high visibility and are willing to invest in specific ad placements to ensure exposure on influential or high-traffic platforms. For example, a luxury car manufacturer might choose to reserve premium banner ads on a prominent business news site, ensuring their brand is consistently visible to a particular audience. While Programmatic Direct bypasses the auction-based RTB process, it retains the automated efficiencies of programmatic advertising, blending control with the benefits of advanced targeting (IAB Europe, 2019; Chen et al., 2022).

Together, these components form an intricate system that drives the success of programmatic advertising. By integrating real-time data analysis, automated platforms, and flexible purchasing models, programmatic advertising enables advertisers to reach the right users at the right time with precision, all while maximizing efficiency and performance. This synergy between RTB, DMPs, DSPs, SSPs, and Programmatic Direct allows for a highly dynamic and responsive digital advertising landscape, continually optimized by the flow of real-time data and ongoing competitive bidding processes (Amazon Ads, 2023; Kshetri, 2014).

2.3 AI and Machine Learning in Programmatic Advertising

Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) are central to the efficiency and effectiveness of programmatic advertising. By automating optimization, enabling predictive and contextual targeting, enhancing fraud detection, and improving real-time bidding, these technologies ensure that ads are not only delivered to the right audience at the right time but also that advertisers achieve the highest possible ROI. As these technologies advance, programmatic advertising will continue to become more adaptive, intelligent, and personalized, offering even greater opportunities for advertisers to connect with their audiences in meaningful ways (Malthouse et al., 2018; Kshetri, 2020).

Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) have fundamentally transformed the landscape of programmatic advertising, making it a highly efficient, adaptive, and data-driven process. These technologies not only streamline ad buying and placement but also enhance targeting precision, campaign optimization, and fraud detection. By employing complex algorithms that process vast amounts of data in real time, AI and ML continuously refine ad strategies to ensure that both advertisers and publishers achieve the highest return on investment (ROI) with minimal human intervention. These capabilities make programmatic advertising more effective by personalizing user experiences and maximizing the impact of advertising budgets (Yang et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2020).

One of the most significant advantages of AI in programmatic advertising is its ability to automate optimization processes, which historically required manual adjustments. AI-driven algorithms constantly monitor the performance of ad campaigns, analyzing key metrics such as impressions, clicks, conversions, and user engagement. By leveraging real-time data, AI systems can identify underperforming ads or strategies and make immediate adjustments to improve outcomes. For instance, if an ad is not generating sufficient clicks or conversions, the AI can adjust parameters such as the bid price, the time of day the ad is shown, or the specific audience segments being targeted. Additionally, AI can optimize creative elements, such as ad copy or imagery, to test variations and identify which combinations yield the highest engagement. This process, known as dynamic creative optimization, enables ads to evolve dynamically based on audience behavior, ensuring that the most effective versions of the ads are delivered in the right contexts. The ability to make continuous adjustments without manual intervention ensures that advertisers' budgets are used efficiently, reducing waste while maximizing the impact of the campaign (Vandegrift, 2019; Marinchak et al., 2018).

Machine learning, a subset of AI, plays a crucial role in predictive targeting by analyzing historical user behavior to forecast future actions. Unlike traditional targeting methods that rely on static demographic or behavioral segments, ML algorithms can predict when a user is most likely to take a specific action—such as making a purchase—based on a multitude of factors, including browsing history, time spent on certain pages, and engagement with past ads. For example, if a user frequently visits websites related to fitness equipment but has not yet made a purchase, ML can analyze patterns in similar users' behavior and predict the optimal time to serve an ad that could prompt conversion. The system might detect that users in this segment are most likely to complete purchases during specific times of the day or after a certain number of site visits, enabling the advertiser to deliver ads precisely when the likelihood of conversion is

highest. This ability to anticipate user behavior and personalize ad delivery in real time significantly increases the chances of conversion, ultimately enhancing the effectiveness of advertising campaigns (Li et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2020).

AI also excels in contextual and behavioral targeting, allowing for a deeper understanding of both content environments and user behavior. Contextual targeting employs natural language processing (NLP) and image recognition, enabling AI systems to analyze the content of webpages where ads might be placed. This ensures that the ads align with the surrounding content, providing a seamless and relevant experience for users. For instance, an ad for hiking boots could automatically be displayed on a webpage discussing outdoor gear, enhancing the relevance of the ad and increasing the likelihood of user engagement. On the behavioral side, AI and ML analyze historical data on users' actions, such as past purchases, frequently visited sites, and engagement with certain types of content. Behavioral targeting powered by AI allows advertisers to tailor ads to individual users based on their unique browsing patterns and inferred interests. For example, a user who consistently visits travel blogs may be shown ads for vacation packages or airline promotions, while another user who shops frequently for electronics might be served ads for the latest gadgets. This level of personalized targeting is not only more likely to resonate with users but also minimizes the chances of ad fatigue, as users see ads that are genuinely relevant to their interests (Kshetri, 2020; Yang et al., 2018).

Beyond targeting and optimization, AI and ML are instrumental in detecting and mitigating ad fraud, which remains a significant challenge in the digital advertising ecosystem. Fraudulent activities such as click fraud, where bots generate fake clicks, and impression fraud, where ads are served but not viewed by real users, can drain an advertiser's budget without yielding any real engagement. AI-driven fraud detection systems are equipped with anomaly detection algorithms that monitor traffic patterns and user behavior in real time. These systems can identify unusual spikes in activity, abnormal interaction rates, or suspicious behaviors that deviate from the norm. For instance, AI might detect a sudden surge in clicks from a single IP address or rapid, repetitive clicks that are characteristic of bot activity rather than genuine user engagement. Once identified, the system can block these fraudulent activities in real time, preventing advertisers from wasting their budgets on non-human traffic. Furthermore, AI continuously learns from these interactions, refining its detection capabilities and becoming more adept at identifying new forms of ad fraud. This proactive approach helps safeguard advertisers' investments, ensuring that their ads are served to legitimate users who are more likely to convert (Zhang et al., 2020; Marinchak et al., 2018).

Another advanced application of AI in programmatic advertising is in real-time bidding (RTB), where decisions are made within milliseconds. AI enables advertisers to analyze thousands of variables in an instant—ranging from user data and context to competing bids and historical performance—before making an informed decision on how much to bid for an ad impression. For example, an AI system might evaluate whether a user's profile suggests a high likelihood of purchasing a particular product and then decide whether the potential conversion justifies a higher bid. This analysis happens in real time, allowing advertisers to be more strategic about where and when they allocate their budget, ensuring that they only bid aggressively on impressions with a high probability of driving valuable conversions. As AI continues to evolve,

its decision-making models will become even more sophisticated, further improving the efficiency and precision of real-time bidding systems (Li et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2020).

2.4 Challenges and Ethical Considerations

Programmatic advertising, while transformative in delivering targeted ads efficiently and at scale, presents significant challenges and raises ethical concerns, particularly around data privacy, transparency, and the rise of fraudulent activities. These issues are central to ongoing debates in digital marketing, as stakeholders navigate a complex landscape shaped by automation and data-driven decision-making. Critical to these discussions are the roles that artificial intelligence, machine learning, and advanced data analytics play, not only in enhancing advertising precision but also in addressing these ethical and operational challenges.

Data Privacy and Consumer Concerns

Programmatic advertising is fundamentally driven by vast amounts of data, enabling the personalization and targeting of ads in real-time. This data encompasses personal identifiers such as IP addresses, browsing behaviors, geolocation, device information, and in many cases, behavioral data collected from third-party platforms like social media and e-commerce sites (Ghosh et al., 2020; Schlee & Harich, 2019). The depth and breadth of this data enable the construction of highly granular consumer profiles, which, through advanced algorithms, predict preferences and intent with remarkable accuracy. These predictive capabilities allow advertisers to display relevant ads to individuals at optimal moments, thereby increasing the likelihood of conversions (Li et al., 2018).

However, the extensive data collection practices associated with programmatic advertising raise significant privacy concerns. The opacity of these processes means that many consumers are unaware of the extent of data collection, its usage, or the mechanisms involved in delivering personalized ads (Auxier et al., 2019). This lack of transparency has been magnified by several high-profile data privacy scandals, such as the Facebook-Cambridge Analytica incident, which revealed how user data could be exploited for political and commercial gains without explicit consent (Isaak & Hanna, 2018). The public outcry following such revelations has heightened scrutiny around the ethics of data collection and the need for greater consumer control over personal information (Binns, 2020).

To mitigate these concerns, regulatory frameworks such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in the European Union and the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA) have been enacted. GDPR imposes strict requirements on how businesses collect, store, and use personal data, including mandates for explicit user consent and provisions allowing users to withdraw consent or request data deletion (Tikkinen-Piri et al., 2018). Non-compliance with these regulations can lead to severe financial penalties—up to 4% of global annual turnover, or €20 million, whichever is higher. Similarly, the CCPA grants California residents the right to know what data is being collected about them, to request that this data not be sold, and to have it deleted from corporate databases (California Consumer Privacy Act, 2018). These regulations represent a paradigm shift, forcing advertisers and programmatic platforms to implement more transparent data practices and establish more robust mechanisms for managing consumer consent (Solove & Schwartz, 2020).

These privacy laws present operational challenges for advertisers, particularly those operating across multiple regions with varying regulations. The need to manage and track consent for data use has necessitated the development of complex compliance systems. As more regions, such as Brazil with its *Lei Geral de Proteção de Dados* (LGPD) and Canada with the Digital Charter Implementation Act, implement similar regulations, advertisers must adopt global strategies that comply with these diverse legal frameworks, while still ensuring effective ad targeting and personalization (Rieger et al., 2020).

Transparency and Brand Safety

The lack of transparency in the programmatic advertising ecosystem, particularly in real-time bidding (RTB) environments, represents a significant ethical challenge. RTB involves automated auctions that occur in milliseconds, where advertisers bid on ad impressions without always knowing where their ads will ultimately appear. This system, while efficient, can result in ads being displayed in inappropriate or unsafe environments, raising serious concerns about brand safety (Noble & Zawacki-Richter, 2019). For example, advertisers may inadvertently place ads on websites that feature extremist content, misinformation, or adult material, which can severely damage a brand's reputation. A notable instance occurred in 2017 when several prominent brands, including Coca-Cola and McDonald's, discovered their ads were being displayed alongside extremist content on YouTube, leading to widespread public backlash and calls for greater control over ad placements (Ingram, 2017).

To mitigate these risks, advertisers increasingly employ brand safety tools and collaborate with Supply-Side Platforms (SSPs) to ensure their ads are displayed in secure, contextually appropriate environments. One approach involves the use of whitelists (approved websites for ad placement) and blacklists (websites or categories to avoid), which give advertisers more control over where their ads appear (Vishwanath, 2019). Contextual targeting, enhanced by AI, further supports brand safety by analyzing the content of a webpage in real-time and determining whether it is suitable for a particular ad. This approach ensures that ads for luxury goods, for example, are not placed next to sensationalist or misleading content (Schlee & Harich, 2019).

Third-party verification services, such as DoubleVerify, Integral Ad Science (IAS), and Moat, also play a crucial role in addressing transparency and brand safety concerns. These companies provide independent verification of ad placements, measuring factors like ad viewability, traffic quality, and the contextual relevance of the environment in which ads are displayed (Ingram, 2017). Such services help advertisers ensure that their ads are seen by real users, not bots, and appear in appropriate content environments. Despite these advances, the scale and complexity of the programmatic ecosystem continue to pose transparency challenges, with ongoing pressure on platforms to deliver more granular reporting on ad placements and performance metrics (Ghosh et al., 2020).

Ad Fraud and Its Mitigation

Ad fraud is a pervasive issue in programmatic advertising, with billions of dollars lost annually to fraudulent practices. Fraud occurs when malicious actors manipulate impressions, clicks, or conversions to generate revenue without delivering real engagement (Liu-Thompkins & Rogerson, 2019). Common forms of ad fraud include impression fraud, click fraud, and domain

spoofing. In impression fraud, bots generate fake traffic to websites, making it appear as though genuine users are viewing ads. This results in advertisers paying for impressions that were never seen by real people (Shen, 2021). Similarly, click fraud involves artificially inflating click-through rates (CTR), either through bots or organized efforts like click farms, where individuals are paid to click on ads. These fraudulent clicks distort performance metrics, misleading advertisers into believing their campaigns are more successful than they are, while simultaneously draining advertising budgets (Tariq et al., 2021).

Domain spoofing represents a more sophisticated form of ad fraud, wherein fraudsters disguise low-quality or fraudulent websites as premium publishers. For example, they might create a website and falsely present it as a reputable platform like The New York Times, deceiving advertisers into paying premium rates for what they believe is high-quality ad space. In reality, the ads are displayed on fraudulent sites, providing little to no value to the advertiser (Chen et al., 2019).

To combat ad fraud, the industry has developed various detection and prevention tools, many of which rely on machine learning algorithms to analyze traffic patterns and identify suspicious activity (Sashidharan et al., 2020). Companies such as White Ops, DoubleVerify, and Moat specialize in detecting non-human behavior and filtering out fraudulent traffic. Blockchain technology is also being explored as a solution to increase transparency in ad transactions, creating an immutable ledger that could allow advertisers to verify ad placements and ensure they are paying only for genuine impressions and clicks (Patel & Kapoor, 2020). Furthermore, industry bodies like the Interactive Advertising Bureau (IAB) and the Media Rating Council (MRC) have established standards for ad verification and viewability, helping to ensure that ads meet quality standards and are seen by real users (IAB, 2021).

3. Theoretical Framework

Helen Nissenbaum's theory of Contextual Integrity provides a framework for understanding privacy based on the flow of information within specific social contexts, rather than simply focusing on the control over personal information (Nissenbaum, 2010). This theory shifts the focus from individual autonomy over data to the idea that privacy is maintained when the flow of information conforms to the norms governing a given context. Violations of privacy occur not merely when data is shared, but when the sharing of data breaches the established norms of that particular context.

Contextual Integrity highlights that distinct social environments—such as healthcare, education, or financial settings—have their own informational norms dictating who can share what information, with whom, and under what conditions. For instance, in a healthcare setting, a patient's information can be shared with a medical professional for treatment but not with marketers. This framework emphasizes that privacy violations happen when the flow of information crosses these contextual boundaries in ways that are inappropriate or unexpected (Barocas & Nissenbaum, 2014).

The theory introduces the concept of "context-relative informational norms," defined by three parameters: actors (senders, recipients, and subjects of information), attributes (types of information), and transmission principles (how the information can be shared, including whether consent or confidentiality is required). A privacy violation occurs when these parameters are misaligned—when information is transmitted in ways inconsistent with the norms of a given context. In today's digital world, where data is often collected in one context and used in another, Nissenbaum's theory is increasingly relevant. For example, in programmatic advertising, data collected from a user's activity on an e-commerce site might be used to serve ads on social media platforms. Such practices can breach the contextual norms of privacy because users may not expect their data to be used in this manner, raising ethical concerns about data use and privacy violations (Nissenbaum, 2010).

The theory of Contextual Integrity offers a structured approach to assessing whether data use aligns with societal norms and expectations, going beyond a narrow focus on consent. It underscores the importance of transparency, trust, and the need for policies that respect the norms governing information flows in specific contexts (Barocas & Nissenbaum, 2014).

4. Methodology

4.1 Analyzing Consumer Privacy in Programmatic Advertising: A Contextual Integrity Perspective

Consumer privacy in programmatic advertising is a critical concern due to the vast amounts of personal data collected and used to deliver targeted ads. Programmatic advertising relies on detailed user information—such as browsing history, search queries, location data, and behavioral patterns—to dynamically serve personalized ads across platforms. This data-driven approach, while effective for marketers, raises significant privacy issues, particularly when users are unaware of how much of their personal information is being collected or how it is being used. Privacy concerns intensify when data is shared or repurposed across different contexts, such as using e-commerce activity for social media ads, a practice that often violates consumer expectations of privacy. With increasing regulatory scrutiny through laws like GDPR and CCPA, there is growing pressure on advertisers to be transparent about their data practices and ensure user consent, yet the challenge of balancing personalized advertising with robust privacy protection remains significant.

To conduct an analysis of two examples of programmatic advertising and their impact on consumer privacy, we can apply Helen Nissenbaum's theory of Contextual Integrity. This theory helps in understanding how privacy concerns arise when the flow of information violates social expectations within specific contexts. Nissenbaum argues that privacy is not merely about limiting data collection, but about ensuring that data flows appropriately in relation to the norms and values governing particular contexts.

Example 1: Facebook's Dynamic Ads

Facebook's Dynamic Ads, which use data from user interactions both on Facebook and across third-party websites and apps, serve as a prime example of programmatic advertising. These ads

track users' browsing behavior, product searches, and even abandoned shopping carts to serve personalized ads based on their previous activities. For example, if a user looks at a pair of shoes on a retail website but does not make a purchase, Facebook can serve ads for that exact pair of shoes, or similar ones, as the user navigates Facebook or Instagram.

Analysis through Contextual Integrity

Nissenbaum's theory highlights that privacy is violated when information flows out of its appropriate context. In the case of Facebook's Dynamic Ads, consumer data collected from e-commerce sites is repurposed for targeted ads on social media platforms, often without the user's explicit knowledge. This represents a contextual breach: data collected in the context of a user's intent to shop is now being used in a different context (social networking). According to Nissenbaum, users might not object to data collection for shopping purposes but may find the repurposing of that data for social media advertising invasive.

Impact on Consumer Privacy: This practice disrupts the integrity of the consumer's expectations around privacy by moving data from the "shopping" context to the "social" context. This mismatch contributes to a perception of surveillance, and many consumers report discomfort with being followed by ads across platforms. Under GDPR and CCPA, Facebook has been required to make data collection practices more transparent, but the challenge remains in aligning this transparency with consumer expectations of privacy in different digital contexts.

Example 2: Google's Display Network

Google's Display Network (GDN) leverages user data collected from Google Search, YouTube, Gmail, and other Google-owned platforms. It combines search history, browsing behavior, and even location data to show ads across millions of third-party websites in the Google Display Network. A user who searches for vacation packages on Google, for example, may begin to see ads for hotels or flight deals on unrelated sites in the GDN as they browse the web.

Analysis through Contextual Integrity

Applying Contextual Integrity to Google's ad ecosystem reveals another instance of contextual violations. Here, information gathered in the "search" context is being used to influence ad targeting in unrelated contexts, like when the user is reading a news article or checking their email. This blurring of boundaries between the contexts in which data is collected and the contexts in which it is used for advertising disrupts the user's sense of privacy. Consumers might expect that their search queries are private to Google, but not that the same data will appear on an unrelated website or app, raising concerns about the scope and opacity of data sharing.

Impact on Consumer Privacy: Google's approach to data collection is comprehensive and pervasive, leveraging its massive ecosystem of platforms to create detailed user profiles. Consumers may feel that they have little control over how their data is shared and used across different platforms. Privacy regulations, such as GDPR, have led to clearer consent processes, but the fundamental issue persists—users often do not understand the full extent to which their data is being utilized in multiple, often unrelated, contexts. The pervasive reach of Google's ad network complicates users' ability to limit the use of their data.

4.2 Comparative Analysis of Consumer Privacy Impact

Using Helen Nissenbaum's theory of Contextual Integrity, we can systematically analyze how programmatic advertising practices, such as Facebook's Dynamic Ads and Google's Display Network, violate consumer expectations regarding the appropriate use of personal data across distinct contexts. According to Contextual Integrity, privacy is upheld when information flows align with the contextual norms governing a specific environment. When data is transferred between contexts with differing expectations or without user consent, this disruption of established informational norms constitutes a violation of privacy.

In the case of Facebook's Dynamic Ads, the data originally generated in the context of online shopping—such as browsing history or purchase intent—is repurposed for ad targeting within the social media context. From the perspective of Contextual Integrity, this represents a normative breach because users interacting with an e-commerce platform might expect their data to be used for recommendations or targeted offers within that same platform. However, when this shopping data crosses over into the realm of social media, a distinct context with its own norms, it can feel intrusive. Users generally do not expect their shopping behavior to be leveraged for ads on a platform where they engage in social interactions, conversations, and content consumption unrelated to shopping. The mismatch between the expectations in the shopping context and the social media context results in a perceived violation of privacy, as the users are unaware or do not consent to such cross-contextual data flows.

Google's Display Network takes this disruption further by aggregating data from multiple contexts—across various Google services such as search, Gmail, YouTube, and third-party websites—to create highly personalized advertising profiles. The vast scope of data collection in this case leads to an even more pronounced violation of contextual norms, as users may not fully grasp how their behaviors across diverse Google services are being pooled and processed to serve ads on unrelated third-party sites. According to Nissenbaum's theory, each of these platforms operates under its own context, with different actors (Google, advertisers, website owners), attributes (user behavior, search history, location), and transmission principles (implied consent, confidentiality). Users may expect their data to remain within the confines of each service they interact with, but Google's extensive data ecosystem blends these contexts, creating a pervasive sense of surveillance and a lack of control over how personal information flows.

Nissenbaum's theory allows us to compare these two examples by emphasizing the dissonance between user expectations and how personal data is utilized across contexts. For both Facebook and Google, the key issue is that the informational norms governing different environments are being violated through the repurposing and aggregation of data. In Facebook's case, the breach occurs when shopping data is unexpectedly used for social media targeting, while in Google's case, the violation is more systemic, with data being blended across a wide range of contexts, making it harder for users to understand or manage their privacy. Contextual Integrity provides a framework to critique these practices, not just based on whether user consent is obtained, but on whether the data flows align with users' expectations of privacy within specific contexts, which in both cases, they do not.

5. Findings on the Correlations between Programmatic Advertising and Consumer Privacy

Empirical research examining the correlations between programmatic advertising and consumer privacy reveals a complex landscape marked by both consumer perceptions and behavioral responses to data collection practices. Studies indicate that while programmatic advertising is effective in enhancing targeting precision and driving engagement, it simultaneously raises significant privacy concerns among consumers, which can adversely affect their attitudes towards brands and their willingness to engage with advertisements. One notable study conducted by Martin and Murphy (2017) highlights that consumers exhibit a heightened awareness of data collection practices in programmatic advertising, particularly regarding their personal data. The research found that approximately 80% of participants expressed concern about how their data is being used, suggesting that consumers feel a lack of control over their personal information. This anxiety is often tied to the perceived opacity of programmatic advertising processes, where users find it challenging to understand how data flows between various platforms and the implications for their privacy.

Furthermore, a survey by the Interactive Advertising Bureau (IAB) revealed that 54% of respondents stated they would be less likely to engage with brands that employ invasive targeting methods. This finding underscores the potential backlash brands may face if they are perceived as infringing on consumer privacy. The research also indicates a correlation between perceived privacy invasions and negative brand sentiment, with consumers reporting feelings of distrust toward companies that fail to prioritize transparency and ethical data practices.

Additionally, empirical evidence suggests that consumers may engage in privacy-protective behaviors in response to concerns about programmatic advertising. For instance, studies have shown an increase in the use of ad blockers and privacy-enhancing technologies among users who feel uncomfortable with data collection practices. According to a report by PageFair, ad blocker usage increased by 30% in 2020, highlighting a growing trend of consumers actively seeking to mitigate their exposure to programmatic advertising. The relationship between programmatic advertising and consumer privacy is also influenced by regulatory developments, such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA). Research indicates that these regulations have led to a shift in consumer expectations regarding data privacy. A study published in the Journal of Business Research found that post-GDPR, consumers have become more vigilant about their privacy rights and are increasingly demanding transparency and control over their data. This shift in expectations poses challenges for advertisers who must adapt their strategies to align with consumer desires for privacy while still leveraging the benefits of programmatic advertising.

6. Conclusion

The landscape of digital advertising is undergoing significant transformation, with programmatic advertising emerging as a dominant strategy for delivering tailored messages to target audiences. However, this innovation raises critical issues regarding consumer privacy. Research highlights

a multifaceted connection between programmatic advertising practices and the growing apprehension surrounding personal data management.

Utilizing Helen Nissenbaum's theory of Contextual Integrity provides a framework for understanding how consumer expectations about data handling can be disrupted. For instance, Facebook's Dynamic Ads take shopping data and apply it within a social media environment, often leading users to feel their privacy is being compromised. Similarly, Google's Display Network extends these privacy concerns by integrating data from various platforms to target ads across third-party sites, resulting in confusion about data sharing and increasing feelings of surveillance among users.

Furthermore, studies indicate a significant number of consumers—around 80%—express discomfort regarding the use of their data in programmatic advertising, reflecting a strong preference for transparency and control over personal information. This discomfort can adversely affect brand perception, as more than half of consumers report being less likely to engage with brands that utilize aggressive targeting tactics. The increase in privacy-centric behaviors, such as the adoption of ad blockers, underscores consumers' efforts to protect themselves from intrusive advertising practices. Regulatory measures, including the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA), have intensified scrutiny on data practices, compelling companies to adhere to strict privacy standards. Research indicates that, following the enactment of GDPR, individuals are more aware of their privacy rights and are calling for clearer and more ethical data usage from advertisers. Consequently, brands face the ongoing challenge of reconciling the need for precise targeting with the imperative of maintaining consumer trust and privacy.

In conclusion, the complex interplay between programmatic advertising and consumer privacy necessitates a strategic shift towards prioritizing ethical data practices. As awareness of privacy concerns grows, businesses must modify their approaches to foster trust and connection within a privacy-sensitive context. Emphasizing the importance of consumer privacy not only aligns with ethical obligations but also serves as a critical component for achieving lasting success in programmatic advertising. By adopting practices that respect contextual integrity, companies can effectively navigate the complexities of digital marketing while ensuring their strategies resonate with consumer expectations, ultimately fostering greater loyalty and engagement.

WORKS CITED

- Amazon Ads. (2023). What is Real-Time Bidding? Retrieved from <https://advertising.amazon.com/en-us/library/guides/what-is-real-time-bidding>.
- Auxier, B., Rainie, L., Anderson, M., Perrin, A., Kumar, M., & Turner, E. (2019). Americans and Privacy: Concerned, Confused and Feeling Lack of Control Over Their Personal Information. Pew Research Center.
- Barocas, S., & Nissenbaum, H. (2014). Big data's end run around procedural privacy protections. *Communications of the ACM*, 57(11), 31-33.
- Binns, R. (2020). Human judgment in algorithmic loop: Individual justice and automated decision-making. *Computer Law & Security Review*, 36, 105-116. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clsr.2019.105-216>
- California Consumer Privacy Act. (2018). Cal. Civ. Code §1798.100.
- Chaffey, D. (2020). *Digital marketing: Strategy, implementation, and practice*. Pearson Education Limited.

- Chaffey, D., & Ellis-Chadwick, F. (2019). *Digital marketing: Strategy, implementation and practice* (7th ed.). Pearson.
- Chen, Y., Pavlou, P. A., & Hitt, L. M. (2022). Dynamic pricing in online advertising markets: A structural model of supply and demand. *Management Science*, 68(1), 51-74.
- Chen, Y., Yang, S., & Zhu, W. (2019). Domain spoofing in real-time bidding: Identification and prevention. *Journal of Marketing Analytics*, 7(1), 34-45. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41270-018-0035-8>
- Dahlén, M., & Edenius, M. (2022). The future of programmatic advertising. *Journal of Advertising Research*, 62(2), 120-135. <https://doi.org/10.2501/JAR-2022-021>
- Ghosh, D., Calo, R., & Edelman, B. (2020). Digital advertising accountability: How behavioral targeting can incentivize ethical business practices. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 162, 313-333. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-018-4006-4>
- Grewal, D., Hulland, J., Kopalle, P. K., & Karahanna, E. (2021). The future of technology and marketing: A multidisciplinary perspective. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 49(1), 18-36. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-020-00733-1>
- IAB Europe. (2019). *Programmatic Advertising: The Future of Digital Marketing*. IAB White Paper.
- IAB Europe. (2021). *Programmatic advertising: Understanding the future of digital advertising*. IAB Europe. Retrieved from <https://iabeurope.eu/>
- IAB. (2021). *IAB Standards and Guidelines for digital ad verification*. Interactive Advertising Bureau. <https://www.iab.com/>
- Ingram, M. (2017). YouTube ad scandal: What happened and how advertisers can prevent it. *Forbes*. <https://www.forbes.com>
- Interactive Advertising Bureau (IAB). (2021). *Guide to programmatic digital out-of-home (DOOH) advertising*. Retrieved from <https://www.iab.com>
- Interactive Advertising Bureau (IAB). (n.d.). *Consumer attitudes toward programmatic advertising: A survey analysis*. Retrieved from IAB
- Isaak, J., & Hanna, M. J. (2018). User data privacy: Facebook, Cambridge Analytica, and privacy protection. *Computer*, 51(8), 56-59.
- Journal of Business Research. (2020). Data privacy and regulatory impacts on programmatic advertising. *Journal of Business Research*, 105, 153-161. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.06.018>
- Kshetri, N. (2014). Big data's impact on privacy, security and consumer welfare. *Telecommunications Policy*, 38(11), 1134-1145.
- Kshetri, N. (2020). The economics of artificial intelligence in advertising. *Telecommunications Policy*, 44(1), 101839. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.telpol.2019.101839>
- Leefflang, P. S. H., Verhoef, P. C., Dahlström, P., & Freundt, T. (2014). Challenges and solutions for marketing in a digital era. *European Management Journal*, 32(1), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2013.12.001>
- Li, W., Jiang, C., Huang, Y., & Qi, L. (2018). Personalized advertising with deep learning and data analytics. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 30(12), 2432-2445.
- Li, Y., Zhang, S., & Li, H. (2018). Real-time bidding-based advertising: Challenges and opportunities. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, 29, 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elerap.2018.03.001>
- Liu-Thompkins, Y., & Rogerson, M. (2019). Digital marketing fraud: A comprehensive review and framework for future research. *Journal of Business Research*, 105, 400-409. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.10.036>
- Lomax, W., & Hammersley, A. (2019). Advertising in a programmatic world. *Journal of Digital Marketing*, 45(2), 76-84.
- López, D., & Schmitt, C. (2023). The effectiveness of programmatic advertising in targeting consumer behavior. *Journal of Digital Marketing*, 7(1), 55-72. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21678180.2023.1758294>
- Malthouse, E. C., Maslowska, E., & Franks, J. U. (2018). AI in advertising: How marketers can leverage artificial intelligence along the consumer journey. *Journal of Advertising Research*, 58(3), 263-267. <https://doi.org/10.2501/JAR-2018-035>
- Marinchak, C., Forrest, E., & Hoanca, B. (2018). AI-driven advertising technology: Realities, risks, and perspectives. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, 33(7), 1111-1119. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JBIM-06-2018-0190>
- Martin, K., & Murphy, P. (2017). The role of data privacy in marketing strategy. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 45(2), 135-155. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-017-0523-z>

- Nissenbaum, H. (2010). *Privacy in context: Technology, policy, and the integrity of social life*. Stanford University Press.
- Noble, A., & Zawacki-Richter, O. (2019). The intersection of machine learning, ethics, and online advertising. *Business Horizons*, 62(4), 429-438. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2019.03.006>
- PageFair. (2020). *Ad blocking trends and consumer behavior*. PageFair Report.
- Patel, S., & Kapoor, K. (2020). Blockchain's potential to combat digital ad fraud. *Journal of Business Analytics*, 3(2), 141-155. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2573234X.2020.1767890>
- Rieger, D., Stieglitz, S., & Meske, C. (2020). Big data ethics in personalized advertising. *Journal of Business Research*, 122, 865-877.
- Sashidharan, B., Yoon, G., & Park, K. S. (2020). An AI-based approach to digital advertising fraud detection. *Decision Support Systems*, 138, 113-127. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2020.113127>
- Schlee, R. P., & Harich, K. R. (2019). Teaching advertising with new technology and personal data ethics: A step forward for business education. *Journal of Marketing Education*, 41(2), 137-147.
- Shen, H. (2021). Detection of impression fraud in online advertising. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 33(7), 3109-3123. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TKDE.2020.2992517>
- Solove, D. J., & Schwartz, P. M. (2020). *Information privacy law* (7th ed.). Wolters Kluwer Law & Business.
- Tariq, U., Ahmed, F., & Qadir, M. (2021). Combatting click fraud in digital advertising. *Computers & Security*, 102, 102-117. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cose.2020.102131>
- Tikkinen-Piri, C., Rohunen, A., & Markkula, J. (2018). EU General Data Protection Regulation: Changes and implications for personal data collecting companies. *Computer Law & Security Review*, 34(1), 134-153.
- Vandegrift, T. (2019). Dynamic creative optimization in programmatic advertising: A case study. *Journal of Digital & Social Media Marketing*, 7(4), 332-338.
- Vishwanath, A. (2019). Scams and disinformation in the digital age: Understanding real and fake ads. *Journal of Digital and Social Media Marketing*, 7(2), 165-172.
- War Room Inc. (2022). *Programmatic Direct vs Real-Time Bidding: What's the Difference?* Retrieved from <https://warroominc.com/>.
- Wright, R. (2019). The rise of programmatic video advertising: Trends and insights. *Video Marketing Journal*, 5(3), 200-210. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vmj.2019.03.001>
- Yang, J., Dong, Z. Y., & Dai, J. (2018). Artificial intelligence and its applications in advertising and marketing. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 30(12), 2259-2271.
- Zhang, X., Cao, J., & Li, X. (2020). Machine learning in real-time bidding for display advertising: A survey. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, 53(4), 1-36. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3386862>
- Zhang, Y., Yuan, S., & Wang, J. (2014). Optimal real-time bidding for display advertising. *Proceedings of the 20th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*, 1077-1086. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2623330.2623633>