

Artificial Intelligence in Public Health and Medicine: Health Equity and Ethical Issues

Nadia Ibraheem Mohammed Alzeer¹, Sameer Saeed Alsumairy²,
Mohammed Hamed Alanazi², Salman Saleh Al-Anazi³, Mansour Mohammed
B Aldossary⁴, Salman Saeed Alshehri⁵, Adel Abdulaziz Alhazza⁶

¹Health information Technician

²Health Informatics

³Anesthesia Technician

⁴Consultant-Ophthalmology/Cornea, External Eye Disease and Refractive Surgery

⁵Specialist social Service

⁶Emergency Medical Services

Abstracts

The importance of health equality and ethical issues in the application of artificial intelligence (AI) in public health and medicine are examined in this essay. AI promises significant advantages as it becomes more prevalent in various domains, but it also carries risks that could make already-existing inequities and moral dilemmas worse. In addition to discussing the consequences for practice and policy, this essay explores the existing integration of AI technology and emphasizes the significance of ethical social responsibility. Suggestions are offered to guarantee that AI developments are used sensibly, fostering fair health results and abiding by strict ethical guidelines for all demographics.

In healthcare, artificial intelligence (AI) is being utilized more and more for customized medication, predictive analytics, and diagnostics. However, if not handled appropriately, AI might worsen health inequities and raise ethical issues. By putting these tactics into practice, AI can benefit all populations fairly, boosting medical treatment and public health interventions' efficacy and confidence.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Public Health, Medicine, Health Equity.

1. Introduction

Artificial intelligence (AI) in medicine and public health is transforming the way medical professionals approach patient care management, disease prediction, population health, and health care delivery. As these technologies develop, they present previously unheard-of chances to advance precision health, boost productivity, and maximize efficacy in healthcare. But this integration also raises important questions about the moral application of AI and the necessity of ensuring health fairness. This opinion examines how AI is changing medicine and public

health, bias issues, ethical dilemmas, and the significance of applying an equitable lens when implementing(Obermeyer, 2019).

AI has enormous potential to change health, from forecasting disease patterns to personalizing treatment approaches and increasing diagnostic accuracy. However, given that we are at the cusp of a technological revolution, it is imperative that we address the ethical ramifications and make sure that these developments fairly benefit all facets of society. When AI is applied improperly or unethically, it can worsen negative consequences for socially and economically disadvantaged groups and create further inequities (Braveman , 2003).

In addition to talking about the advantages and current uses of AI, this essay highlights how important it is to strike a balance between creativity and moral obligations. The opinion looks at the impact of AI on health equity, the historical background of technology advancements in the field, and offers practical advice and insights to help practitioners, legislators, academics, and developers. Fostering a health care environment that respects the highest standards of equality and ethical practice while simultaneously embracing technological breakthroughs is the goal.

AI in Medicine and Public Health:

AI is currently being applied in many areas of medicine and public health, significantly changing the way medical personnel interact with their patients, communities, and medical data. Predictive analytics and diagnostic algorithms are two important fields where AI is having an impact. AI algorithms, for instance, are being utilized more and more to detect illnesses from imaging scans; they can do so more quickly and accurately than human radiologists. AI can predict disease outbreaks in predictive analytics. examining large datasets to determine hospital readmission rates and a patient's likelihood of chronic illness. AI can assist in customizing medical therapies to each patient's unique genetic profile in this age of precision medicine, which could enhance results and reduce negative effects (Gurevich , 2023).

The integration of AI-based techniques is becoming more and more significant in the fields of public health surveillance, illness forecasting, and epidemic modeling. These uses highlight some of AI's potential to improve clinical and public health decision-making's accuracy and efficacy. But they also highlight the necessity of a strong framework for the responsible management of these technologies (Johnson , 2021).

Public health and healthcare in the digital transition.:

A number of difficulties surface when the public health and healthcare industries traverse the digital transition. These include growing knowledge gaps, difficulties with technology, and general reluctance and resistance to change. For instance, significant technological advancements, a strong data architecture, and employee training are necessary to integrate AI into any current public health or healthcare infrastructure. In addition to offering improvements, health care practitioners' lack of knowledge about AI technology may make it more difficult to apply them successfully. Furthermore, implementing AI- driven techniques necessitates modifying long-standing procedures and workflows, which conventional healthcare providers frequently oppose. The health care sector must not only stay up to date with current technical advancements but also look ahead to new discoveries as AI continues to advance. To employ AI

to enhance health outcomes while making sure that these technologies are applied morally and fairly, it will be crucial to address these issues head-on (Rashid, 2022).

The Value of Addressing Bias in AI Applications and Encouraging Health Equity:

AI has enormous potential to revolutionize both medical and public health. Health practitioners must, however, also take ethical behavior and health equity into account as they use these technology. When discussing AI applications, "health equity" refers to the equitable and right allocation of health technology and their advantages. Regardless of socioeconomic background, color, sex or gender, ethnicity, disability status, or geographic location, it guarantees that everyone has access to the same high-quality health care services (Romero, 2020).

An example of an unequal distribution of technology is the use of AI diagnostic tools for diabetic retinopathy, mostly in healthcare institutions with adequate resources or among people that have insurance. While socially or economically disadvantaged groups may have a higher prevalence of disease but lack the money or insurance required to obtain such cutting-edge diagnostic equipment, this method disproportionately helps those with better financial means and access. Equity is when groups with varying degrees of underlying social advantage—such as income, power, privilege, and prestige do not consistently differ in their health or the social determinants of health. AI must improve health care and outcomes while bridging rather than expanding current health gaps if it is to be genuinely transformational (Zeng , 2021).

Opportunities and Challenges:

There are potential as well as obstacles in the ethical application of AI in public health and healthcare. AI, for instance, has the ability to improve diagnostic precision and expedite processes, but it also brings up concerns about dehumanizing care and decreasing relationships between patients and providers. Working partners in public health and medicine can use AI to enhance population health and health care results while upholding ethical standards by proactively addressing these ethical issues. It is becoming more and more clear as we examine the revolutionary potential of AI in public health and medicine that, despite the fact that AI greatly improves the efficacy and efficiency of healthcare, it also poses significant ethical and equity issues (Rajpurkar, 2022).

2. Recommendations:

- It is advised to create inclusive AI regulations, strengthen ethical frameworks, and guarantee accountability and transparency in order to advance health equity and moral AI use in public health and medicine. It is crucial to fund professional and public education on AI, encourage community involvement, and incorporate socioeconomic determinants of health into AI models. Furthermore, interdisciplinary cooperation, varied funding for research and proof, and ongoing monitoring and assessment of AI systems are essential tactics to guarantee that AI technologies are just, equal, and advantageous for all groups.

- It is also essential that partners collaborate to make sure AI technologies not only achieve the highest standards of innovation but also follow moral and egalitarian principles in

order to responsibly promote public health and medicine. By putting these suggestions into practice, medical and public health practitioners can use AI to improve patient outcomes while avoiding potential injustices and moral failings.

3. Conclusion:

In Conclusion, This all-encompassing strategy guarantees that AI will be a tool for good, advancing medicine and public health into a future where technology and human values are in harmony to advance everyone's well-being. Fostering a health care environment that respects the highest standards of equality and ethical practice while simultaneously embracing technological breakthroughs.

WORKS CITED

1. Alowais SA, Alghamdi SS, Alsuhebany N, Alqahtani T, Alshaya AI, Almohareb SN, et al. Revolutionizing healthcare: the role of artificial intelligence in clinical practice. *BMC Med Educ.* 2023;23(1):689.
2. Roski J, Chapman W, Heffner J, Trivedi R, Del Fiol G, Kukafka R, et al. Chapter 3: How artificial intelligence is changing health and health care. In: Matheny M, Israni ST, Ahmed M, Whicher D, eds. *Artificial Intelligence in Health Care: The Hope, the Hype, the Promise, the Peril.* The National Academies Press; 2019:65-98.
3. Rajpurkar P, Chen E, Banerjee O, Topol EJ. AI in health and medicine. *Nat Med.* 2022;28(1):31-38.
4. Zeng D, Cao Z, Neill DB. Artificial intelligence-enabled public health surveillance – from local detection to global epidemic monitoring and control. *Artif Intell Med.* 2021;437-453.
5. Romero-Brufau S, Wyatt KD, Boyum P, Mickelson M, Moore M, Cогnetta- Rieke C. Implementation of artificial intelligence-based clinical decision support to reduce hospital readmissions at a regional hospital. *Appl Clin Inform.* 2020;11(4):570-577.
6. Rashid J, Batool S, Kim J, Wasif Nisar M, Hussain A, Juneja S, et al. An augmented artificial intelligence approach for chronic diseases prediction. *Front Public Health.* 2022;10:860396.
7. Johnson KB, Wei WQ, Weeraratne D, Frisse ME, Misulis K, Rhee K, et al. Precision medicine, AI, and the future of personalized health care. *Clin Transl Sci.* 2021;14(1):86-93.
8. Gurevich E, El Hassan B, El Morr C. Equity within AI systems: what can health leaders expect? *Healthc Manage Forum.* 2023;36(2):119-124.
9. Braveman P, Gruskin S. Defining equity in health. *J Epidemiol Community Health.* 2003;57(4):254-258.
10. Obermeyer Z, Powers B, Vogeli C, Mullainathan S. Dissecting racial bias in an algorithm used to manage the health of populations. *Science.* 2019;366(6464):447-453.